

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | • |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---|
| 10/039,061 | 01/02/2002 | Boas Betzler | POU901066US1 | 9115 | |
| 46369 | 7590 09/07/2006 | | EXAM | INER | - |
| HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI P.C. | | | SCUDERI | SCUDERI, PHILIP S | |
| 5 COLUMBIA ALBANY, N | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | - |

2153

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| · | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/039,061 | BETZLER, BOAS | | | | |
| omoorioned amma, | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAII ING DATE of this communication and | Philip S. Scuderi | 2153 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju | <u>ly 2006</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) | vn from consideration. is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order and the order are considered to by the Examiner of the specific and | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | . 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 25 July 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 10, 11, 16-18, 20-22, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0112823) in view of Vij (U.S. Patent No. 6,452,910).

Regarding claim 1, Collins shows a method of establishing instant messaging communications between wireless devices (figure 4b), said method comprising:

connecting a first wireless device (100) to an instant messaging server (rendezvous service 400) (see paragraphs [0036]-[0037]);

transmitting device address (network address) and access code (login) information of the first wireless device (of device 100) from the first wireless device (100) to the instant messaging server (rendezvous service 400) (see paragraph [0036], where the users login to the rendezvous service; the rendezvous service must receive network addresses from the devices for the devices to attempt to initiate direct communications);

requesting by the first wireless device (100) a list of active wireless devices from the instant messaging server (see paragraph [0040], where device 100 attempts to establish direct connection 410; the rendezvous service must send device 112's address to device 100 for device 100 to attempt to establish direct connection 410)

in the same piconet as the first wireless device (devices 100 and 112 are both devices on the Internet, which is a piconet because (1) the devices can connect via wireless media, making the Internet a wireless network, and (2) the devices have direct peer-to-peer capabilities) (see paragraph [0035], where the devices can connect via various wireless mediums; see paragraph [0040], where the devices are directly connected);

transferring from the instant messaging server (rendezvous service 400) to the first wireless device (100) the list of active wireless devices (the rendezvous service must send device 112's address to device 100 for device 100 to attempt to establish direct connection 410) in the same piconet as the first wireless device (in the Internet);

employing by the first wireless device (100) the list of active wireless devices in the same piconet to identify at the first wireless device at least one additional wireless device belonging to the same piconet as the first wireless device (see paragraph [0040], where device 100 initiates and establishes direct communication with device 112); and

responsive to said employing, establishing by the first wireless device (100) direct instant messaging communication across the piconet (across the Internet) between the first wireless device (100) and a second wireless device (112) without further employing the instant messaging server (direct communication flow 410 does not employ rendezvous service 400), wherein the second wireless device (112) is one device of the at least one additional wireless device belonging to the same piconet as the first wireless device (belonging to the Internet), and wherein the direct instant messaging between the first wireless device and the second wireless device (communications flow 410) is direct wireless communication therebetween employing the piconet (the communication is wireless when the devices connect to the Internet via any wireless medium) (see paragraph [0035], where the devices can connect via various wireless mediums; see paragraph [0040], where the devices establish a direct connection across the Internet).

Collins discloses that the devices can be "hand-held or laptop devices" that can connect to the piconet (the Internet) via "wireless media such as acoustic, RF, infrared, and other wireless media" (see paragraph [0035]). Collins does not expressly disclose that the piconet (the Internet) is implemented with Bluetooth technology having a range characteristic indicative of a distance within which radio signals carry between wireless devices of the piconet using direction connection wireless technology. Nonetheless, it was well known in the art to connect such devices to the Internet using such Bluetooth technology, as evidenced by Vij.

In a similar art, Vij teaches a system for bridging a Bluetooth network so that Bluetooth devices can connect to the Internet (see abstract, summary, etc.), the Bluetooth network having a range characteristic indicative of a distance within which radio signals carry between wireless devices of using direct connection wireless technology (30 feet) (see column 2, lines 20-22). Vij's system provides advantages such as enabling users with Bluetooth devices to conveniently access the

Application/Control Number: 10/039,061

Art Unit: 2153

Internet from a variety of locations. It would have been obvious to use Vij's bridge in the instant case for any of the same reasons.

Regarding claim 2, Collins and Vij teach the method as applied to claim 1. Collins further teaches that the establishing comprises transitioning the first wireless device (100) and the second wireless device (112) from client/server based communication with the instant messaging server (rendezvous service 400) to wireless peer-to-peer communication without the need for the instant messaging server therebetween (see figure 4b, where communication session 410 does not pass through rendezvous service 400).

Regarding claims 7, 8, 10, 16-18, 20, 21, 27, and 28, these claims are rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 1.

Regarding claims 11 and 22, these claims are rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 2.

Claims 6, 15, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collins (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0112823) in view of Vij (U.S. Patent No. 6,452,910), and further in view of Jabber (Jabber.org homepage, printed from the 05 December 2000 archive of Jabber.org).

Regarding claim 6, Collins and Vij teach the method as applied to claim 1. Vij discloses use of the Bluetooth standard, as discussed above. Collins cites MSN Messenger as an example of a rendezvous service (instant messaging service), but does not expressly disclose *employing the Jabber instant messaging protocol*. Nonetheless, it was well known in the art that the Jabber protocol provided advantages such as being free, simple, fast, extensible, modularized, cross platform, etc. (see the first

paragraph of the Jabber reference). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the Jabber protocol in the instant case for any of these reasons.

Regarding claims 15 and 26, these claims are rejected for substantially the same reasons as claim 6.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip S. Scuderi whose telephone number is (571) 272-5865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton B. Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,061

Art Unit: 2153

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PS

KRISNA LIM IMARY EXAMINER